1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
2	COMMISSIONERS		Arizona Corporation Commission		
3 4 5	MINE OLDASON	JUN 2 % 2	JUN 2 1 2005		
6	AZ Corporation Commission Director Of Utilities				
7 8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATIO ORBITCOM, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO F COMPETITIVE RESOLD INTEREXCHA AND FACILITIES-BASED LOCAL EXC	E OF PROVIDE NGE HANGE	DOCKET NO. T-04274A-04-0640  DECISION NO. <u>67945</u>		
9	TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES I ARIZONA.	N	OPINION AND ORDER		
10 11	DATE OF HEARING:	April 26, 2			
12	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, A	Arizona		
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Amanda P Dwight D.			
14 15	APPEARANCES:	Michael W. Patten, ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF PCL, on behalf of OrbitCom, Inc.; and			
16 17		David Ror of the Ut Commission	nald, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalt ilities Division of the Arizona Corporation on.		
18	BY THE COMMISSION:				
19	Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the				
20	Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:				
21	FINDINGS OF FACT				
22	1. On September 2, 2004, OrbitCom, Inc. ("OrbitCom" or "Applicant") filed with the				
23	Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide				
24	resold interexchange and facilities-based local exchange telecommunications services within the				
25	State of Arizona. The application petitioned the Commission for determination that its proposed				
26	services should be classified as competitive.				
27	2. On February 14, 2005, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its				
28	Staff Report, which recommended approval of the application and included a number of additiona				

- 3. On March 2, 2005, a Procedural Order was issued setting this matter for hearing on April 26, 2005 and setting various procedural deadlines.
- 4. On April 5, 2005, Applicant docketed an Affidavit of Publication that complies with Commission rules.
- 5. On April 26, 2005, a full public hearing in this matter was held as scheduled. Applicant appeared telephonically and was represented by local counsel. Staff appeared and was represented by counsel. The hearing was conducted before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge. Evidence was presented and testimony was taken. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Administrative Law Judge took the matter under advisement and informed the parties that a Recommended Opinion and Order would be prepared for the Commissioners' consideration.
- 6. OrbitCom is incorporated under the laws of the State of South Dakota and is authorized to do business in Arizona.
- 7. Applicant has the technical capability to provide the services that are proposed in its application.
- 8. Currently there are several incumbent providers of local exchange and interexchange services in the service territory requested by Applicant, and numerous other entities have been authorized to provide competitive local and interexchange services in all or portions of that territory.
  - 9. It is appropriate to classify all of Applicant's authorized services as competitive.
- 10. According to Staff, OrbitCom submitted unaudited financial statements for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2003. These financial statements list assets of \$542,107, equity of \$19,401, and a net income of \$292,585.
- 11. Staff recommends that OrbitCom's application for a Certificate to provide competitive facilities-based local exchange and resold interexchange telecommunications services be granted subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) that, unless it provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, OrbitCom be ordered to procure an Interconnection Agreement, within 365 days of the effective date of the Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, that must remain in effect until further order of the Commission, before being allowed to offer local exchange

1 2 3 4 5 6
3 4 5 6
4 5 6
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

26

27

28

service;

- (b) that OrbitCom be ordered to file with the Commission, within 365 days of the effective date of the Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, its plan to have its customers' telephone numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases;
- (c) that OrbitCom be ordered to pursue permanent number portability arrangements with other LECs pursuant to Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
- that OrbitCom be ordered to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism instituted in Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-00000E-95-0498);
- (e) that OrbitCom be ordered to abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for Qwest in Docket No. T-0151B-93-0183;
- (f) that OrbitCom be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve areas where OrbitCom is the only provider of local exchange service facilities;
- (g) that OrbitCom be ordered to certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers within 365 days of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, which certification must remain in effect until further Order of the Commission;
- (h) that OrbitCom be ordered to abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding CLASS services;
- (i) that OrbitCom be ordered to provide 2-PIC equal access;
- (j) that OrbitCom be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to its name, address or telephone number;
- (k) that OrbitCom be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
- (l) that OrbitCom be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
- (m) that OrbitCom be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
- (n) that OrbitCom be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
- (o) that OrbitCom be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations including, but not limited to, customer complaints;
- (p) that OrbitCom be subject to the Commission's rules and the 1996

Telecommunications Act to the extent that they apply to CLECs; and

- (q) that OrbitCom be ordered to file an application with the commission pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107 if the Applicant desires to discontinue service. OrbitCom should be required to notify each of its customers and the Commission 60 days prior to filing an application to discontinue service, and any failure to do so should result in forfeiture of the Applicant's performance bond.
- 15. Staff further recommended that OrbitCom's application for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunications services should be granted subject to the following conditions:
  - (a) OrbitCom be ordered to file conforming tariffs within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever occurs first, and in accordance with the Decision;
  - (b) In order to protect OrbitCom's customers:
    - (1) OrbitCom should be ordered to procure a performance bond equal to \$110,000. The minimum bond amount of \$110,000 should be increased in increments of \$55,000 whenever the total amount of the advances, deposits and prepayments is within \$11,000 of the bond amount;
    - (2) OrbitCom should docket proof of the performance bond within 365 days of the effective date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, and must remain in effect until further Order of the Commission; and
    - (3) If at some time in the future, OrbitCom does not collect from its resold interexchange customers an advance, deposit, or prepayment, OrbitCom be allowed to file a request for cancellation of its established performance bond regarding its resold interexchange service. Such request must reference the Decision in this docket and must explain the applicant's plan for canceling its performance bond. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff will forward its recommendation to the Commission.
  - (c) If any of the above timeframes are not met, that OrbitCom's CC&N should become null and void without further Order of the Commission and no extensions for compliance should be granted.
  - 16. At the hearing, OrbitCom agreed to comply with all of Staff's recommendations.
- In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant, it has determined that OrbitCom's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero, and is not useful in either affair value analysis or in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation. Staff has reviewed the rates to be charged by the Applicant and believes they are just and reasonable as they are comparable to other

competitive local carriers, local incumbent carriers, major long distance carriers operating in Arizona and comparable to the rates the Applicant charges in other jurisdictions. Therefore, while Staff considered the FVRB information submitted by the Applicant, that information should not be given substantial weight in this analysis.

- 18. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable.
- 20. OrbitCom's fair value rate base is determined to be zero for purposes of this proceeding.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
  - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a Certificate to provide competitive telecommunications services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for Applicant to provide the telecommunications services set forth in its application.
- 6. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate authorizing it to provide competitive facilities-based local exchange and resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona as conditioned by Staff's recommendations.
- 7. The telecommunications services that the Applicant intends to provide are competitive within Arizona.
- Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Applicant to establish rates and charges that are not less than the Applicant's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
  - 9. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable and should be adopted.

1	
2	re
3	
4	
5	C
6	ba
7	cc
8	
9	th
10	fir
11	
12	\$1
13	со
14	
15	rec
16	
17	Or
18	he
19	
20	
21	
22	

10. OrbitCom's competitive rates, as set forth in its proposed tariffs, are just and asonable and should be approved.

## ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of OrbitCom, Inc. for a Certificate of onvenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange and facilitiesased local exchange telecommunications services in Arizona shall be, and is hereby, granted, inditioned upon OrbitCom's timely compliance with the following three Ordering Paragraphs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that OrbitCom shall file conforming tariffs in accordance with is Decision within 365 days of this Decision or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes st.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that OrbitCom shall procure a performance bond equal to 10,000 the earlier of 365 days from the effective date of this Order or 30 days prior to the mmencement of service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that OrbitCom shall comply with all of the Staff commendations set forth in the above-stated Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if OrbitCom fails to meet the timeframes outlined in the dering Paragraphs above, that the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity conditionally granted rein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

24

23

25

26 27

SERVICE LIST FOR: ORBITCOM, INC. 2 DOCKET NO.: T-04274A-04-0640 3 Michael W. Patten ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 5 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 6 Brad VanLeur OrbitCom, Inc. 1701 N. Louise Ave. Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57107 8 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel 9 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 10 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 11 Ernest Johnson, Director 12 Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 13 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27